Single Bench

(3) (5)

CF-1502

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
(S)
WRIT PETITION NO. 4493/2006

PETITIONER

Smt. Himkumari Meshram
Aged about 38 years,
W/o. Shri Atma Ram Meshram
R/o. Annapurnapara, Kanker,
District Kanker (C.G.).
Working as Assistant Teacher
in Government Primary School,
Kanker (Practicing).

P.R. No. 4429/06
Presented by Shri Pawan Kashyap
dated 14/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
School Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The District Education Officer,
District Kanker (C.G.).

3. The Principal,
Government Primary School,
Kanker, District Kanker (C.G.)

4. The Collector,
District Kanker (C.G.)

Bing
14/08/06
RECEIVED-COPY
Clerk to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG 20/3/06
11/08/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS,ORDER/ORDERS,DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS IN NATURE OF WRITS OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER WRIT OF LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

39

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4493/ **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का नांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29-8-2006 Mr. Saurabh Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 29-7-2006 passed under the rationalization scheme. Clause 7 of the rationalization scheme provides for an appeal by the aggrieved teacher. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already preferred an appeal before the Director, Education against the impugned transfer order, same is pending and it is not being decided by the Director. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since the petitioner has already availed of the legal remedy available to her against the impugned order by filing an appeal under clause 7 of the rationalization scheme before the Director, Education and learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appeal preferred by the petitioner is not being decided by the Director, Education, the Director, Education is directed to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3475/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

cc. accordi-

Soma

29-8-06